



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,388	06/01/2007	Masanobu Kawazoe	DK-US065246	9309
22919	7590	05/13/2009		
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			EXAMINER VAN OUDENAREN, SARAH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/594,388	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWAZOE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SARAH VAN OUDENAREN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al (US 5,462,817).

Hsu teaches a high temperature electrochemical converter and specifically related to high performance systems employing such devices. Hsu teaches that electrochemical converters perform fuel-to-electricity conversions in a fuel cell (col 1, lines 15-25). The system facilitates the heat transfer from the fuel cell stacks (col 2 line 5-10) and is typically used in systems with operating temperatures around 1000°C (col 1, lines 30-40). Hsu teaches fuel and oxidizers being supplied to the stack (col 5, lines 20-50) as well as using solid oxide material (col 5, lines 50-65). A burner is used to burn the spent gases from the converter within the thermal enclosure to provide additional energy (col 8, lines 1-10, Figure 7). Hsu teaches two loops within the system. The first loop acting as a fuel cell loop performs reactant processing, thermal regeneration, and fuel cell operations. The second loop includes the heat transfer elements. The gas in both loops being a working medium. The mass flow rate of the working medium is regulated to a level which can absorb the radiatively transferred waste heat from the

Art Unit: 1793

fuel cell stack and achieve a large temperature rise which is favorable from a thermodynamic efficiency standpoint (col 8, lines 20-65).

Hsu does not explicitly teach a branching part, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that as it is taught that the medium is sent through two loops, that a part would be required to separate said medium into the two loops in order to utilize one medium reservoir/medium production means.

Regarding claim 2, Hsu teaches the mass flow rate of the working medium is regulated to a level which can absorb the radiatively transferred waste heat from the fuel cell stack and achieve a large temperature rise which is favorable from a thermodynamic efficiency standpoint (col 8, lines 20-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to regulate the flow dependant on operation of the fuel cell as to avoid wasteful flow and heat generation.

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Hsu teaches that radiation heat transfer helps to maintain a uniform temperature distribution over the cell stack (col 5, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to facilitate the heat transfer loop across a plurality of layers in order to maintain a uniform temperature distribution.

Regarding claims 4, 10, and 14, Hsu teaches a burner is used to burn the spent gases from the converter within the thermal enclosure to provide additional energy (col 8, lines 1-10, Figure 7). Hsu teaches that maximizing the heat exchange between the incoming and outgoing gases would decrease the amount of heat lost by the system,

Art Unit: 1793

thereby improving the overall system efficiency (col 6, lines 30-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to exchange heat with the burned waste gas in order to decrease the amount of heat lost by the system, thereby improving the overall system efficiency.

Regarding claims 5, 11, and 15, Hsu teaches a burner is used to burn the spent gases from the converter within the thermal enclosure to provide additional energy (col 8, lines 1-10, Figure 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this within the cell in order to decrease heat loss and maximize radiant heating.

Regarding claim 8, Hsu teaches a system as discussed above. Hsu teaches that the medium can be water, steam, gas or a variety of two-phase fluids (col 5, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the gas in order to further control the heat exchange while utilizing a more inexpensive component of the system.

Claims 6-7, 12-13, 16-17, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al (US 5,462,817) in view of Sato et al (US 2004/0062961).

Regarding claims 6, 12, 16, 18 Hsu teaches a high temperature electrochemical converter and specifically related to high performance systems employing such devices as discussed above. Hsu teaches a fuel supply being fed via heat exchangers wherein heat is exchanged and generates steam from water (col 7, lines 55- col 8, lines 10).

Hsu does not explicitly teach a vaporizer.

Art Unit: 1793

Sato teaches a vaporizer configured to vaporize the fuel of a fuel cell system. The fuel containing liquid, such as water, is vaporized by being heated and becomes gas fuel with a certain composition (pg 2, paragraph 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the vaporizer of Sato with the system of Hsu in order to obtain a gas fuel with a certain composition.

Regarding claims 7, 13, 17, and 19 Sato teaches the vaporizer is under a thermal insulator and among other components of the system within a module in order to promote thermal efficiency. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the proximity of Sato with the system of Hsu in order to promote thermal efficiency.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SARAH VAN OUDENAREN whose telephone number is (571)270-5838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Melvin Curtis Mayes can be reached on 571-272-1234. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SVO

April 28, 2009

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793